

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DIST. OF TX  
FILED  
2016 NOV -3 PM 4:27  
DEPUTY CLERK V/S

PAUL GENE PLEMONS,

Petitioner,

v.

JOEL W. RICHARDSON, Sheriff,  
Randall County, Texas,

Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

2:16-CV-0073

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS**  
**PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS**

On September 16, 2016, the Court ordered petitioner to submit to the Court, within twenty (20) days from the filing date of the Order, the form Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 which was attached to the Order. Petitioner was warned that failure to timely submit the form petition could result in the dismissal of this case without further notice. Petitioner was instructed that if he was not seeking to challenge a state court judgment, that he should file a separate pleading advising the Court what he was seeking to challenge. Petitioner was also directed to pay the filing fee in this case or complete and submit to the Court an *in forma pauperis* application with supporting documentation. Petitioner was warned that failure to either pay the filing fee or submit a properly completed *in forma pauperis* application could result in the dismissal of this case without further notice.

As of this date, petitioner has not complied with the September 16, 2016 Order and is in direct disregard of an Order of the Court. Petitioner has not submitted any pleadings to this Court, nor has he communicated with this Court in any manner, since the September 16, 2016 Order. It is the opinion of the undersigned that petitioner has neglected his case to such an extent that it warrants dismissal.

RECOMMENDATION

It is the RECOMMENDATION of the United States Magistrate Judge to the United States District Judge that this case filed by petitioner PAUL GENE PLEMONS be DISMISSED for want of prosecution.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE

The United States District Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Report and Recommendation to each party by the most efficient means available.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

ENTERED this 3rd day of November 2016.

  
CLINTON E. AVERITTE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**\* NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT \***

Any party may object to these proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. In the event parties wish to object, they are hereby NOTIFIED that the deadline for filing objections is fourteen (14) days from the date of filing as indicated by the "entered" date directly above the

signature line. Service is complete upon mailing, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C), or transmission by electronic means, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E). **Any objections must be filed on or before the fourteenth (14th) day after this recommendation is filed** as indicated by the “entered” date. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d).

Any such objections shall be made in a written pleading entitled “Objections to the Report and Recommendation.” Objecting parties shall file the written objections with the United States District Clerk and serve a copy of such objections on all other parties. A party’s failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation contained in this report shall bar an aggrieved party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings, legal conclusions, and recommendation set forth by the Magistrate Judge in this report and accepted by the district court. *See Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), *as recognized in ACS Recovery Servs., Inc. v. Griffin*, 676 F.3d 512, 521 n.5 (5th Cir. 2012); *Rodriguez v. Bowen*, 857 F.2d 275, 276-77 (5th Cir. 1988).